EXPERIMENTAL STUDY OF STIBNITE SOLUBILITY IN AQUEOUS SULFIDE SOLUTIONS FROM 25 TO 90°C
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ABSTRACT

Modelling antimony transport in hydrothermal systems and developing mitigation procedures for stibnite (Sb₂S₃) scaling in geothermal power stations, such as those at the Ngawha and Rotokawa geothermal systems, requires precise thermodynamic data to determine stibnite solubility under different physicochemical conditions. Specifically, accurate modelling requires knowledge of the dependence of stibnite solubility and complexation on pH, sulfide concentration and temperature. However, there is uncertainty in the stability and stoichiometry of antimony(III) sulfide/ hydrosulfide complexes at 25°C and higher temperatures that prevents detailed modelling of the transport and precipitation chemistry of antimony in aqueous sulphide media at elevated temperatures.

We have conducted solubility experiments with natural stibnite in a flow-through apparatus to determine the solubility of stibnite in aqueous sulfide solutions from pH 6.0 to 12.5 and sulfide concentrations from 0.002 to 0.2 m[S-total] at 25°C. The apparatus also allows measurement of stibnite solubility at elevated temperatures up to 90°C. These experiments are underway.

Our experimental results are similar to the solubilities found at 25°C by Krupp (1988). He concluded that HₓSb₂S₄ x-2 species are dominant between pH 3 to 12, but other authors have suggested HₓSb₂S₂O₂ x-2 and HₓSbS₃ x-3 species (Wood, 1989; Tossell, 1994). We note that for arsenic, H₃AsS₃ is apparently the dominant thioarsenite stoichiometry (Bostick et al., 2005; Beak et al., 2008; Zakaznova-Herzog and Seward, 2012). At 90°C, our solubilities are similar to solubilities extrapolated to higher pH from Krupp (1988). Our measurements will provide a complete set of stibnite solubility measurements between 25 and 90°C in reduced, sulfide-containing fluids and permit a new evaluation of the stoichiometry and stability of thioantimonite species at these conditions.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Modeling antimony (Sb) in hydrothermal fluids

Stibnite (Sb₂S₃) is the most common antimony mineral, and its solubility is a primary control on the concentration of antimony hydrothermal fluids. The ability to predict changes in stibnite solubility and aqueous antimony complexation in response to changes in pH, temperature and aqueous sulphide content is necessary in modelling antimony behaviour during hydrothermal ore deposition and in other environments characterised by high-temperature, reducing, sulfide-containing fluids, including within geothermal power generation systems.
In reducing hydrothermal solutions, antimony occurs in the trivalent oxidation state (Sb$^{+3}$, antimonite) and may form a variety of aqueous complexes with hydroxide (OH$^{-}$) and/or sulfide (HS$^{-}$) ligands. Modelling antimony chemistry relies on accurate thermodynamic data for these thio- and oxythioantimonite complexes. Antimony complexation in the Sb(III)-HS-OH-H system has been studied using a variety of solubility (Arston et al.; 1966; Kolpakova, 1982; Krupp 1988; Akinifiev et al., 1994; Belevanstev et al., 1998), spectroscopic (Wood, 1989; Gushchina et al., 2000; Moshelmanns et al, 2000) and theoretical methods (Tossell, 1994). Krupp (1988) concluded that H$_x$Sb$_2$S$_4$$^{x-2}$ species are dominant between pH = 3 to 12 at temperatures up to 100ºC when aqueous sulfide is 0.01 mol/kg, with the anion H$_2$Sb$_2$S$_4$ being the most abundant in the near pH region. Other authors have suggested H$_x$Sb$_2$S$_2$O$_2$$^{x-2}$ and H$_x$SbS$_3$$^{x-3}$ species among others (Wood, 1989; Tossell, 1994).

Compilations of stibnite thermodynamic data have been done by several authors to produce thermodynamic databases for modelling antimony chemistry (e.g. Spycher and Reed, 1989; Obolensky et al., 2007). Figure 1 contains two plots that are examples of activity diagrams for the same geochemical conditions (100ºC and 0.0005 mol/kg H$_2$S) produced by two different compilations of antimony thermodynamic data. Several of the same aqueous species occur within both diagrams, but the solubility of stibnite at pH 7 varies by about two orders of magnitude between the two models of antimony speciation. Figure 1 also includes a region between pH = 5.5 to 7 and antimony concentrations from 10$^{-6}$ to 10$^{-4.8}$ mol/kg Sb that is inclusive of conditions observed in geothermal fluids from production wellhead samples in several geothermal systems, as discussed further in the next section. Depending upon which database is used, the fluids are either primarily oversaturated or primarily undersaturated with respect to stibnite. Comparing the models presented in Figure 1 it is clear that a critical re-examination of the thermodynamic data for antimony speciation is needed.

1.2 Antimony scaling in geothermal power stations

Stibnite and antimony-rich sulphide scaling within pipelines in geothermal power stations has been observed in a number of geothermal systems, including in Italy, El Salvador and New Zealand (Cappetti et al., 1995; Raymond et al., 2005; Wilson et al., 2007; Morteani et al. 2011). In New Zealand, stibnite scaling has been observed at the Ngawha and Rotokawa geothermal stations, most abundantly in the mixed brine-condensate heat exchangers. Based upon thermodynamic modelling, the precipitation of stibnite scale in Rotokawa was attributed to a pH decrease while at Ngawha the temperature decrease was considered more important (Wilson et al. 2007). However, the data used considered a very limited number of thioantimonite species and have not been updated to include recent data for antimonous acid (Sb(OH)$^3$; Zakaznova-Herzog and Seward 2006).

2. EXPERIMENTAL APPROACH

We are conducting solubility experiments with natural stibnite in the flow-through apparatus shown in Figure 2. This apparatus consists of a glass flask in which a deoxygenated NaOH-H$_2$S solution was prepared with a given pH and sulfide content. The pH was controlled via addition of varying amounts of sodium hydroxide and the sulfide concentration was controlled by varying the H$_2$S(g) content of the H$_2$S/N$_2$ gas mixture that was bubbled through the solution during preparation. This solution was then pumped through two PEEK plastic columns containing crushed and cleaned stibnite. The solution was collected in a syringe and the pH, sulfide content and antimony concentration were measured in the effluent.

![Figure 2: Diagram of experimental apparatus for flow through solubility experiments.](image-url)
These stibnite solubility experiments produce the equilibrium antimony aqueous concentration at a given pH and $S_{\text{total}} (= H_2S + HS^-)$. Conducting experiments at variable pH and $S_{\text{total}}$ produces stibnite solubility curves, and the complexes present can be determined by fitting possible aqueous complexes to the experimentally measured curves. For example, stibnite solubility in the presence of any aqueous hydrosulfide antimony(III) complex in the pH region dominated by HS$^-$ can be described by the following general equation:

$$0.5xSbS_3 + (3/2x + w - y - z)H^+ = Sb_xS_yO_zH_w \quad (1)$$

in which the variables $x$, $y$, $z$ and $w$ indicate the stoichiometry of the aqueous antimony complex $(Sb_xS_yO_zH_w)$. The partial derivative of equation (1) with respect to pH

$$\frac{\partial Sb_{\text{total}}}{\partial \text{pH}} = 2z + y - w - 1.5x \quad (2)$$

or HS$^-$,

$$\frac{\partial Sb_{\text{total}}}{\partial S_{\text{total}}} = y - 1.5x \quad (3)$$

gives the slope of a stibnite solubility curve with respect to pH or H$_2$S for any given antimony complex. The $\partial Sb_{\text{total}}/\partial \text{pH}$ and $\partial Sb_{\text{total}}/\partial S_{\text{total}}$ pairs for a selection of possible complex stoichiometries are given in Figure 4. The stoichiometries included are those hypothesized for the Sb(III)-HS-OH-H system by previous authors (Arnston et al., 1966; Shestitko and Demina, 1971; Krupp, 1988; Wood, 1989; Tossell, 1994; Zakaznova-Herzog and Seward, 2006).

2.2 Determination of equilibrium

It is critical that equilibrium is reached in solubility studies. Equilibrium is determined by measuring the Sb concentration at different flow rates as shown in Figure 4. When the aqueous antimony concentration reaches a maximum value and does not increase with decrease flow rate, experiments are considered to have reached equilibrium. The flow rate at which the experimental system reaches equilibrium is greater in experiments with a higher pH and/or temperature and so different experiments have been conducted at different flow rates.

3. Results

We have conducted experiments in aqueous sulfide solutions from pH = 6.0 to 12.5 and sulfide contents from 0.002 to 0.2 mS$_{\text{total}}$ at 25°C, and, at ~ 90°C, from pH = 8 to 10.5 at sulfide contents from 0.002 to 0.009 mS$_{\text{total}}$. The preliminary room temperature and 90°C results are presented and compared to the previous data of Krupp (1988) in Figures 5 and 6, respectively.

Our experimental results are similar to the solubilities found at 25°C by Krupp (1988) at pH = 11 – 12 but our higher measured stibnite solubilities pH ≈ 8 suggest that Krupp (1988) may have under estimated stibnite solubility at some experimental conditions.

Figure 3: Ideal slopes of stibnite solubility curve ($\delta Sb_{\text{total}}/\delta \text{pH}$ and $\delta Sb_{\text{total}}/\delta S_{\text{total}}$) for selected complexes. Slopes are calculated using equations 2 and 3. Vertical position is determined by a species’ Sb:S:O ratio and horizontal position by the degree of protonation (i.e. the variable w in equations 1 and 2).

Figure 4: Flow rate versus solubility curves for selected experimental conditions.

Figure 5: Experimental solubility curve projected to $S_{\text{total}} = 0.01$. Filled diamonds indicate medians of multiple analyses, and error bars are 2*standard deviations for both antimony and pH. Open diamonds indicate single analyses. Crosses are experimental data from Krupp (1988). All data has been projected from the measured $S_{\text{total}}$ to $S_{\text{total}} = 0.01$ mol/kg using the aqueous species suggested by Krupp (1988).
Figure 6: Comparison of preliminary results at 90°C and data from Krupp (1988). Comparison of data from an 87°C experiment at pH = 10.5 and S\text{total} = 0.0086 mol/kg with data from Krupp (1988) at similar temperature (90°C) and total sulfur content (0.0076 to 0.0096 mol/kg). Error bars for our data are 2*standard deviations for both antimony and pH and are smaller than the symbol for the experiment plotted.

As shown in Figure 6 for a selected experiment, at ~ 90°C, our solubilities are similar to solubilities extrapolated to high pH from 90°C experiments conducted at the similar sulphide contents by Krupp (1988). Further experiments at 25 and 90°C are on-going.

4. CONCLUSIONS
Geochemical modelling of antimony behaviour in hydrothermal fluids is currently limited by the quality and completeness of the thermodynamic available, particularly for thioantimonite and possibly for oxythioantimonite species. Some of the most readily available thermodynamic databases are not up-to-date, and the speciation models they produce vary widely (Figure 1). The most complete stibnite solubility study (Krupp, 1988) extends from pH = 3 to 12 but the data is especially scattered in the pH range from pH = 6 to 9, which corresponds to the range of most natural geothermal fluids. Additionally, thermodynamic data from Krupp (1988) and others are limited at higher temperatures.

Our stibnite solubility experiments conducted using a flow-through apparatus at 25°C produce preliminary results that suggest that the solubilities measured by Krupp (1988) are comparable (albeit somewhat scattered) but that speciation may need to be re-evaluated.

As with antimonite, studies of arsenite (As\textsuperscript{3+}) complexation in sulfide-containing fluids have produced a wide range of possible stoichiometries for thioarsenites (Zakaznova-Herzog and Seward, 2012 and references therein). However, some recent studies have favoured H\textsubscript{3}AsS\textsubscript{3} as the dominant thioarsenite stoichiometry (Bostick et al., 2005; Beak et al., 2008; Zakaznova-Herzog and Seward, 2012). Having quality thermodynamic data for thioantimonites and knowing whether thioantimonite speciation is similar to or different from thioarsenite speciation would be extremely useful for investigating and comparing these elements’ behaviour in natural hydrothermal systems.
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